

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,237	03/20/2000	Seth D. Rose	344-P-16-USA	9691
7:	590 01/13/2003			
Drummond & Duckworth 5000 Birch Street Suite 440 East Tower Newport Beach, CA 92660			EXAMINER	
			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
Nowport Boach, Cit 72000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/509,237	ROSE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Blessing M. Fubara	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	2 Octobor 2002				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) □	This action is non-final.				
, <u> </u>		ers prosecution as to the merits is			
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7, 8, 10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 12</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language p					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) D Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Application/Control Number: 09/509,237

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of request for extension of time and amendment B filed 10/23/02. Claims 1-6 are cancelled and new claims 7-12 are pending.

### Specification

Examiner acknowledges receipt of abstract on separate sheet. The objection to the specification is thus withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Cancellation of claims 1-6 overcomes the previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. However, new claims 7-9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is confusing because it is not clear how "modifying the solubility of the polymer in the liquid composition ... interaction product" relates to the method forming a film in situ by applying a liquid polymer composition to a body tissue and allowing the solvent in the liquid polymer to evaporate to leave a film.

Clarification is required.

For examination purposes, claim 7 is interpreted according to the method steps.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 7, 8, 10 and 11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blank (US 4,533,540).

Applicants argue that Blank does not teach a film forming composition that includes an interaction product.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,237

Art Unit: 1615

3. Applicants' arguments filed 10/23/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The prior art teaches comprises a copolymer of polyvinylpyrrolidone and nitroglycerine and the copolymer broadly reads on interaction product. Regarding the argument that the interaction product is created by attaching a hydrophobic group to a polymer is not within the interpretation of the claim since it is not clear what relevance method of preparing the polymer has on a method where a polymer is applied to a tissue.

4. Claims 7, 8, 10 and 11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al. (US 4,826,677).

Applicants argue that Mueller does not teach an interaction product.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The prior art teaches compositions comprising modified polymer and modified polymer reads on the broad interaction product. Regarding the argument that the interaction product is created by attaching a hydrophobic group to a polymer is not within the interpretation of the claim since it is not clear what relevance method of preparing the polymer has on a method where a polymer is applied to a tissue.

6. Claims 9 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims because the prior art does not teach the polymers recited in claims 9 and 12.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,237

Art Unit: 1615

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara January 10, 2003 THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY OF THE 1600

Page 4